

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/833,603	CHENG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Johnson	1725

All Participants:

(1) Christina Johnson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Howard Trofkin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

32, 44, 57

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Christina Johnson
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 32, 44, and 57 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to overcome the rejections over the prior art of record. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon amendments can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..